Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry



Check for updates

Cite this: Org. Biomol. Chem., 2018, 16, 7152

Visible light catalyzed reaction of α -bromochalcones with chalcones: direct access to the urundeuvine scaffold⁺

The α -keto vinyl radicals generated from α -bromochalcones under visible light photoredox catalyzed

conditions were trapped by chalcones. The subsequent intramolecular cyclization of the resulting

benzylic radicals led to the synthesis of dihydronaphthalenes, which were conveniently oxidized to the

corresponding naphthalenes. The strategy was adopted successfully for synthesizing derivatives of urun-

Bhupal Singh Karki,^{a,b} Mukund M. D. Pramanik,^{a,b} Ruchir Kant^c and Namrata Rastogi (1) *^{a,b}

deuvine chalcones, which are otherwise accessible only from natural sources.

Received 3rd August 2018, Accepted 11th September 2018

rsc.li/obc

Introduction

The dihydronaphthalene motif is widely distributed in several medicinal molecules of natural/synthetic origin such as cannabisins, magnoshinin, trioxifene, negundin B, urundeuvines, *etc.*¹ (Fig. 1) and has been implicated in hepatitis C NS5B polymerase inhibitors, aldosterone synthase (CYP11B2) inhibitors and recently in tubulin binding inhibitors.² The dihydronaphthalene scaffold also serves as the precursor to several other valuable scaffolds and molecules such as indatraline, mutisianthol, trikentrin, podophyllotoxin, epipodophyllotoxin, *etc.*³

Owing to the importance of the dihydronaphthalene scaffold in synthetic and medicinal chemistry, it is always desirable to develop newer and more efficient strategies for its synthesis. Other than the classical method of naphthalene dearomatization⁴ for dihydronaphthalene scaffold synthesis, there are several other strategies based on the arylation of alkynes, rearrangement of vinylcyclopropanes, Friedel–Crafts reaction, RCM reaction, Diels–Alder reaction, Heck reaction, Grignard reaction, [2 + 2] cycloaddition, and metal–carbene radical chemistry.⁵

In recent years, several useful protocols for C–C as well as C–heteroatom bond formation have been reported under visible light photoredox catalyzed conditions.⁶ Although

⁶Molecular & Structural Biology Division, CSIR-Central Drug Research Institute, Jucknow 226031. India visible light photoredox catalyzed radical cyclizations have been reported frequently for the synthesis of various carbocycles, heterocycles, and fused polycyclic scaffolds,⁷ the examples of dihydronaphthalene synthesis under photoredox catalysis are rare.^{8,9a}

The research group of Professor Reiser identified α -bromochalcones as the source of α -keto vinyl radicals under visible light catalysis⁹ and trapped them intermolecularly with various terminal alkenes for the synthesis of dihydronaphthalene scaffolds.^{9a} We hereby report the successful use of chalcones as the coupling partners with α -bromochalcones under photoredox conditions, yielding 1,2,3-trisubstituted-1,2-dihydronaphthalenes (Scheme 1).



Fig. 1 1,2-Dihydronaphthalene core in natural/synthetic medicinal molecules.



View Article Online

^aMedicinal and Process Chemistry Division, CSIR-Central Drug Research Institute,

Lucknow 226031, India. E-mail: namrata.rastogi@cdri.res.in ^bAcademy of Scientific and Innovative Research, New Delhi, India

[†]Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of all new compounds. CCDC 1816362 and 1816363. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c8ob01881b



Scheme 1 Dihydronaphthalene scaffold from α -bromochalcones under visible light catalysis.

Results and discussion

For preliminary investigations chalcone **1a** and α -bromochalcone **2a** ($E_{red} = -0.88 \text{ V } \nu s. \text{ SCE}$)⁹ were selected as the model substrates in a 1:1 ratio, and the reactions were carried out in DMSO in the presence of Na₂CO₃ (Table 1).

The polypyridyl complexes of Ir known as the preferred photocatalysts for the generation of α -keto vinyl radicals from α -bromochalcones were selected as photocatalysts for initial reactions (entries 1 and 2). Product **3a** was formed in a diastereomerically pure form, albeit in low yields. The product was assigned the *trans*-configuration on the basis of coupling constant by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. The yield of **3a** was even lower with other photocatalysts *viz*. eosin Y and Ru(bpy)₃Cl₂ (entries 3 and 4), prompting us to optimize other conditions with *fac*-[Ir(ppy)₃], which provided the product in the best yield

obtained so far. Further optimization of the solvent and base used in the reaction revealed K₃PO₄ and DMSO as the most suitable base and solvent, respectively, affording the product in a modest 55% yield (entry 9). Next, reducing the amount of the photocatalyst to 0.5 mol% as well as increasing to 2 mol% caused reduction in the yield of 3a (entries 10 and 11). Furthermore, changing the ratio of substrates 1a:2a in favor of 2a (entry 12) did not improve the yield but taking 1a: 2a in a 2:1 ratio provided 3a in 75% isolated yield (entry 13). The necessity of excess radical trapping agent 1a in the reaction mixture can be explained considering that the reductive dehalogenation of α-bromochalcones and photodimerization of chalcones were major side reactions.¹⁰ Additional optimization of reaction conditions confirmed that 2 equivalents of K₃PO₄ were preferable over 1 or 3 equivalents considering product yield and reaction economy (entries 14 and 15). Moreover, key control experiments were performed to establish the significance of both visible light and photocatalyst in the reaction since no product formation was noticed in the absence of a photocatalyst or visible light (entries 16 and 17).

After optimizing the reaction conditions, we decided to investigate the scope of reaction in terms of chalcones 1 and α -bromochalcones 2. First, α -bromochalcone 2a was reacted with several chalcones 1 in order to investigate their potential under optimized conditions (Table 2).



^{*a*} **2a** (0.3 mmol) with specified amounts of **1a**, photocatalyst and base were irradiated in solvent (3.0 mL) with a 450 nm blue LED for 36 h under N₂. ^{*b*} NMR yields. ^{*c*} Isolated yield. ^{*d*} A 530 nm green LED was used.

Table 2 Scope of reaction: variation of chalcones 1 (1a-1q)^a



 a Reaction conditions: 1 (0.6 mmol), 2 (0.3 mmol), $fac-[\rm{Ir}(ppy)_3]$ (0.003 mmol), K_3PO_4 (0.6 mmol), DMSO (3 mL), blue light at rt under $N_2.$

The chalcones with unsubstituted aryl rings (1b), with electron-releasing groups (1a, 1c–1h) or with electron-withdrawing groups (1i–1l) on one or both the aryl rings reacted smoothly with 2a under optimized reaction conditions. The heteroaryl chalcones 1m–1n also provided the desired products with 2a, though in lower yields. Furthermore, the α , β -unsaturated alkyl styryl ketones 1o, 1p and α , β -unsaturated ester 1q could also be successfully employed in the reaction with 2a, providing the corresponding dihydronaphthalenes 3o, 3p and 3q, respectively.

After utilizing the wide range of chalcones 1 in the reaction, we aimed to investigate the scope of α -bromochalcones 2 in the reaction (Table 3). The α -bromochalcone bearing electron releasing methyl groups 2b reacted well with unsubstituted chalcone 1b as well as with chalcones bearing substituents of different electronic characters, including methyl, methoxy, thiomethyl and halogens, affording the corresponding products 3r-3w. Furthermore, α-bromochalcones with halogen substituents 2c-2e reacted smoothly with several chalcones, including the heteroaryl chalcone 1n, affording the corresponding dihydronaphthalenes 3x-3za in moderate yields. Also, the α -bromo-thienyl-styryl ketone 2f and α -bromo acrylate 2g coupled effectively with 1b to provide the desired products 3zb and 3zc in 55% and 57% yield, respectively. Although in most cases the *trans*-isomer was the exclusive product, in a few cases traces of the *cis*-isomer (<5%) were also noticed by ¹H NMR of the crude product. The stereochemistry of the major products

Table 3 Scope of reaction: variation of α -bromochalcones 2 (2b-2g)^a

R^{1} (het)Ar R^{2} R^{1} =	Br <u>fac-[li 2</u> K aryl, heteroaryl OEt	r(ppy) ₃] (1 mol %) pPO ₄ (2 equiv) DMSO blue LED, rt 36 h	R^3 (het)Ar R^1 3
Me H 3r (1b + 2b) (56%)	Me H H 3s (1d + 2b) (66%) OMe	Me (H) (H) (H) (H) (H) (H) (H) (H)	Me 0Me 0Me 3u (1f + 2b) (53%)
Me Me H 3v (1h + 2b) SMe	Me H B Wec Mec Mec Mec (45%)	0Me Br (H) (40%) 0Me 3x (15 + 2e) (40%) 0Me	Ma H J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J J
F H (b + 2a)	H 3za (1n + 2e) (580)	H 32b (1b + 2f)	CCO2Et H 3zc (1b + 2g) (57%)

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: **1** (0.6 mmol), **2** (0.3 mmol), fac-[Ir(ppy)₃] (0.003 mmol), K₃PO₄ (0.6 mmol), DMSO (3 mL), blue light at rt under N₂.



Scheme 2 Plausible reaction mechanism.

was assigned on the basis of single crystal X-ray analysis of 3l and $3x^{11}$ (see the ESI[†]).

The mechanism of the reaction congruous with the related reports can be depicted as shown in Scheme 2.9,12 The reaction expectedly follows the oxidative quenching cycle of the photocatalyst which initially gets excited by blue light to [fac-Ir(m) $(ppy)_{3}*].$ This excited photocatalyst reduces the α -bromochalcone 2a by single-electron transfer, generating the α -ketovinyl radical I. Radical I adds to the α -carbon of chalcone 1a, leading to the formation of benzylic radical II. The benzylic radical is trapped intramolecularly by the aryl ring of α-bromochalcone, generating radical species III. Oxidation of radical intermediate III effected by the strong oxidant [fac-Ir(IV) $(ppy)_{3}^{\dagger}$ completes the catalytic cycle and produces cyclohexadienyl cation IV. Finally, cation IV undergoes deprotonation in the presence of a base to provide the dihydronaphthalene product 3a.

Furthermore, the dihydronaphthalenes **3** were readily oxidized into the corresponding naphthalenes **4** upon heating with ammonium acetate in glacial acetic acid, as exemplified by few representative examples (Scheme 3).

After establishing the general nature and applicability of the reaction to provide dihydronaphthalenes and naphthalenes, we planned to verify its application for the synthesis of natural dimeric chalcones namely, urundeuvine **A** and urundeuvine **B** (Fig. 1). We first attempted the synthesis of a methyl



Scheme 3 Dihydronaphthalenes 3 to naphthalenes 4.



Scheme 4 Application of the methodology in the synthesis of natural product derivatives.

ether derivative of urundeuvine A through the reaction between the corresponding trimethoxy chalcone 1s and tetramethoxy α -bromochalcone 2h under optimized conditions. The reaction resulted in a mixture of three products in 75.1:23.5:1.4 ratio in 41% total yield (determined by HPLC; see the ESI[†]). While the product in 1.4% amount could not be isolated, the other two products were characterized as regioisomers 3zd (75.1%) and 3ze (23.5%) (Scheme 4). Both the regioisomers were assigned the trans configuration on the basis of their analogy with other products.¹³ Although our method could not provide the natural urundeuvine A (cisisomer of 3zd), the methyl ether derivatives of natural urundeuvine B 4f and regioisomer 4g were isolated in 14% and 13% yield, respectively, by subjecting 3zd and 3ze to the standard oxidation conditions after separating them by preparative HPLC (Scheme 4). The efforts to demethylate 4f to obtain urundeuvine B and to apply the protocol for accessing other natural products including urundeuvine C and negundin B are currently underway in our group.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we developed a novel method for the synthesis of dihydronaphthalenes under visible light catalysis by employing conveniently accessible starting materials. This practically simple strategy represents the first example of electron deficient alkenes being utilized to trap the α -keto vinyl radicals generated from α -bromochalcones. The reaction exhibited high functional group tolerance and a wide range of both chalcones and α -bromo chalcones reacted efficiently under the reaction conditions. The protocol was applied successfully for the synthesis of derivatives of urundeuvine chalcones, which are otherwise accessible only from the bark of the *Myracrodruon urundeuva* plant.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

BSK and MMDP thank the UGC, New Delhi and the CSIR, New Delhi respectively, for the Ph. D. fellowships. We thank the SAIF division of CSIR-CDRI for the analytical support. We thank Dr Tejender S. Thakur of Molecular and Structural Biology Division, CSIR-Central Drug Research Institute for supervising the X-ray data collection and structure determination of **31** and **3x**. We gratefully acknowledge the Department of Science & Technology (DST), New Delhi for the financial support (Project Ref. No.: EMR/2016/006975) (CDRI Communication No.: 9734).

Notes and references

- (a) G. S. B. Viana, M. A. M. Bandeira and F. J. A. Matos, *Phytomedicine*, 2003, **10**, 189–195; (b) L. Hejtmánková, J. Jirman and M. Sedlák, *Res. Chem. Intermed.*, 2009, 35, 615–623; (c) E. C. N. Nono, P. Mkounga, V. Kuete, K. Marat, P. G. Hultin and A. E. Nkengfack, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2010, 73, 213–216; (d) S. Y. Lee, E. Moon, S. Y. Kim, S. U. Choi and K. R. Lee, *Biosci., Biotechnol., Biochem.*, 2013, 77, 276–280; (e) D. Kumar, O. Das, M. S. Kaurav and T. Khan, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, 7, 36844–36851.
- (a) H. V. Nobre-Júnior, R. A. Oliveira, F. D. Maia, M. A. S. Nogueira, M. O. Moraes, M. A. M. Bandeira, G. M. Andrade and G. S. B. Viana, *Neurochem. Res.*, 2009, 34, 1066–1075; (b) E. Rasolofonjatovo, O. Provot, A. Hamze, J. Rodrigo, J. Bignon, J. Wdzieczak-Bakala, D. Desravines, J. Dubois, J.-D. Brion and M. Alami, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2012, 52, 22–32; (c) L. Devkota, C.-M. Lin, T. E. Strecker, Y. Wanga, J. K. Tidmore, Z. Chen, R. Guddneppanavar, C. J. Jelinek, R. Lopez, L. Liu, E. Hamel, R. P. Mason, D. J. Chaplin, M. L. Trawick and K. G. Pinney, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2016, 24, 938–956.
- 3 (a) G. G. Bianco, H. M. C. Ferraz, A. M. Costa, L. V. Costa-Lotufo, C. Pessoa, M. O. de Moraes, M. G. Schrems, A. Pfaltz and L. F. Silva, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, 74, 2561–2566;
 (b) U. Farid, F. Malmedy, R. Claveau, L. Albers and T. Wirth, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, 52, 7018–7022;
 (c) A. Ahmad and L. F. Silva, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2016, 81, 2174– 2181.
- 4 (a) A. R. Pape, K. P. Kaliappan and E. P. Kündig, *Chem. Rev.*, 2000, 100, 2917–2940; (b) F. Nador, Y. Moglie, C. Vitale, M. Yus, F. Alonso and G. Radivoy, *Tetrahedron*, 2010, 66, 4318–4325; (c) J. T. Myers, P. J. Shivokevich, J. A. Pienkos, M. Sabat, W. H. Myers and W. D. Harman, *Organometallics*, 2015, 34, 3648–3657.
- 5 Selected recent publications: (*a*) Y. Liu, Q.-L. Wang, C.-S. Zhou, B.-Q. Xiong, P.-L. Zhang, C.-an Yang and

K.-W. Tang, J. Org. Chem., 2017, 82, 7394-7401;
(b) P. V. Navaratne and A. J. Grenning, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2017, 15, 69-75;
(c) C.-K. Chan, N.-C. Hsueh, Y.-L. Tsai and M.-Y. Chang, J. Org. Chem., 2017, 82, 7077-7084;
(d) C. Grotenhuis, B. G. Das, P. F. Kuijpers, W. Hageman, M. Trouwborst and B. Bruin, Chem. Sci., 2017, 8, 8221-8230;
(e) M. Zhu, J. Chen, X. He, C. Gu, J. Xu and B. Fan, J. Org. Chem., 2017, 82, 3167-3172;
(f) S. Takada, N. Takaki, K. Yamada and Y. Nishii, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2017, 15, 2443-2449.

- 6 (a) B. Sahoo, M. N. Hopkinson and F. Glorius, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 5505-5508; (b) L. J. Allen, P. J. Cabrera, M. Lee and M. S. Sanford, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, (c) D. J. Wilger, J.-M. 5607-5610: Grandiean. M. T. R. Lammert and D. A. Nicewicz, Nat. Chem., 2014, 6, 720-726; (d) Y. Yasu, Y. Arai, R. Tomita, T. Koike and M. Akita, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 780-783; (e) S. Ventre, F. R. Petronijevic and D. W. C. MacMillan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 5654-5657; (f) A. U. Meyer, S. Jäger, D. P. Hari and B. König, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2015, 357, 2050-2054; (g) J.-R. Chen, X.-Q. Hu, L.-Q. Lu and W.-J. Xiao, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2016, 45, 2044-2056; (h) S. K. Pagire, S. Paria and O. Reiser, Org. Lett., 2016, 18, 2106-2109; (i) S. K. Pagire, A. Hossain and O. Reiser, Org. Lett., 2018, 20, 648-651; (i) J.-R. Chen, D.-M. Yan, O. Wei and W.-J. Xiao, ChemPhotoChem, 2017, 1, 148-158.
- 7 (a) X. Sun and S. Yu, Synlett, 2016, 27, 2659–2675, and ref. therein; (b) J.-T. Guo, D.-C. Yang, Z. Guan and Y.-H. He, J. Org. Chem., 2017, 82, 1888–1894; (c) P. Qian, Y. Deng, H. Mei, J. Han, J. Zhou and Y. Pan, Org. Lett., 2017, 19,

4798-4801; (d) O. Boubertakh and J.-P. Goddard, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2017, 2072-2084; (e) N. Zhou, J. Liu, Z. Yan, Z. Wu, H. Zhang, W. Li and C. Zhu, Chem. Commun., 2017, 53, 2036-2039; (f) W.-F. Tian, D.-P. Wang, S.-F. Wang, K.-H. He, X.-P. Cao and Y. Li, Org. Lett., 2018, 20, 1421-1425; (g) Y. Liu, R.-J. Song, S. Luo and J.-H. Li, Org. Lett., 2018, 20, 212-215; (h) P.-Z. Wang, X.-Y. Yu, C.-Y. Li, B.-Q. He, J.-R. Chen and W.-J. Xiao, Chem. Commun., 2018, 54, 9925-9928.

- 8 J. Li, J. Chen, W. Jiao, G. Wang, Y. Li, X. Cheng and G. Li, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2016, **81**, 9992–10001.
- 9 (a) S. Paria, V. Kais and O. Reiser, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2014, 356, 2853–2858; (b) S. Paria and O. Reiser, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2014, 356, 557–562; (c) S. K. Pagire and O. Reiser, Green Chem., 2017, 19, 1721–1725; (d) S. K. Pagire, P. Kreitmeier and O. Reiser, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 10928–10932.
- T. Lei, C. Zhou, M.-Y. Huang, L.-M. Zhao, B. Yang, C. Ye, H. Xiao, Q.-Y. Meng, V. Ramamurthy, C.-H. Tung and L.-Z. Wu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, 56, 15407–15410.
- 11 Crystal structures of **3l** and **3x** have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center and allocated the reference no. CCDC 1816363 and 1816362,† respectively.
- 12 S. B. Nagode, R. Kant and N. Rastogi, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2018, 1533–1537.
- 13 For NMR data of urundeuvine chalcones, see:
 (a) M. A. M. Bandeira, F. J. A. Matos and R. Braz-filho, *Nat. Prod. Lett.*, 1994, 4, 113–120; (b) M. A. M. Bandeira, F. J. A. Matos and R. Braz-filho, *Magn. Reson. Chem.*, 2003, 41, 1009–1014.